

Patient Factors Associated with Nonadherence of Oral Hypoglycemics in an Oklahoma Medicaid Population

Laura Tidmore, Pharm.D.,¹ Bethany Holderread, Pharm.D.,² Michyla Adams, Pharm.D.,²
Nancy Nesser, Pharm.D., J.D.,³ Shellie Keast, Pharm.D., Ph.D.^{1,2}

¹University of Oklahoma College of Pharmacy, ²Pharmacy Management Consultants, ³Oklahoma Health Care Authority



The UNIVERSITY of OKLAHOMA
College of Pharmacy



Background

- The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) may expand star ratings to dual Medicare-Medicaid plans, introducing a necessity in understanding factors associated with nonadherence in Medicaid patients.¹⁻²
- The CMS outcome measure for medication adherence for oral hypoglycemic medications is weighted three times as much as other measures.¹
- Previous research has found age, sex, race, residence, obesity, mental illness, substance abuse, hypercholesterolemia, and Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) to be associated with nonadherence.³⁻⁵
- There remain opportunities for findings to be investigated in a different Medicaid population using proportion of days covered (PDC) as the tool to measure adherence.

Objectives

- Determine level of nonadherence of oral hypoglycemic medications in Oklahoma Medicaid beneficiaries.
- Identify patient factors associated with nonadherence in oral hypoglycemic agents.

Methods

- Study Design and Database Characteristics: Cross-sectional historical cohort of comprehensive state-specific Medicaid administrative claims data (pharmacy and medical) from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.
- Inclusion Criteria: Adult Oklahoma Medicaid enrollees (≥18 years) taking at least one oral hypoglycemic medication during 2015 and who has been continuously enrolled in Medicaid throughout calendar year 2015.
- Theoretical Framework: Andersen Model for Healthcare Utilization⁶
- Outcome (Dependent) variable: Adherence (defined as a PDC of 0.80 or greater).
- Predictor (Independent) variables: Patient demographics (age, sex, race, residence) and comorbidities (obesity, mental illness, substance abuse, hypercholesterolemia, and a modified CCI of at least 1).
- Statistical Method: Multivariable logistic regression.

Result

Table 1. Descriptive Summary of Patient Characteristics by Adherence (Overall N=9838)

FACTOR	ADHERENT N=4437 (45%)	NONADHERENT N=5401 (55%)	P-VALUE
Sex¹: n (%)			
Male*	1610 (36%)	1641 (30%)	<0.0001
Female	2827 (64%)	3760 (70%)	
Race¹: n (%)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	385 (9%)	576 (11%)	<0.0001
Asian	110 (3%)	99 (2%)	
Black or African American	635 (15%)	972 (19%)	
White or Caucasian*	3091 (71%)	3369 (65%)	
Other	126 (3%)	176 (3%)	
Location¹: n (%)			
Rural*	980 (22%)	1047 (20%)	0.0014
Urban	3416 (78%)	4280 (80%)	
Weight Status¹: n (%)			
Low or Normal Weight*	3372 (76%)	3786 (70%)	<0.0001
Overweight	1065 (24%)	1615 (30%)	
Presence of Diabetes With Complications¹: n (%)			
No*	2694 (61%)	3563 (66%)	<0.0001
Yes	1743 (39%)	1838 (34%)	
Presence of Hypercholesterolemia¹: n (%)			
No*	1139 (26%)	1855 (34%)	<0.0001
Yes	3298 (74%)	3546 (66%)	
Presence of Mental Illness¹: n (%)			
No*	2961 (67%)	3459 (64%)	0.0053
Yes	1476 (33%)	1942 (36%)	
Presence of Substance Abuse¹: n (%)			
No*	3699 (83%)	4129 (76%)	<0.0001
Yes	738 (17%)	1272 (24%)	
Presence of Opioid Dependence¹: n (%)			
No*	4408 (99%)	5331 (99%)	0.0015
Yes	29 (1%)	70 (1%)	
Modified Charlson Comorbidity Index²: mean			
	2.15	2.05	0.0469
Age²: mean			
	51.9	47.5	<0.0001

Adherence is defined as a proportion of days covered (PDC) greater than or equal to 0.80.

*Reference group in logistic regression. ¹Chi square test/Fisher exact test ²t test (independent samples)

Summary of Significant Associations with Nonadherence from Multivariable Logistic Regression

FACTOR	TYPE	OR	95% CI
Female	Predisposing	0.803	0.734 to 0.878
Race – Native American	Predisposing	0.804	0.696 to 0.928
Race – African American	Predisposing	0.727	0.648 to 0.816
Urban County	Enabling	0.888	0.801 to 0.985
Substance Abuse	Need-Related	0.663	0.597 to 0.737
Opioid Dependence	Need-Related	0.564	0.358 to 0.890
Modified CCI	Need-Related	0.970	0.953 to 0.987

OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval, CCI = Charlson Comorbidity Index

Conclusions

- This project found mixed results of factors found to be associated with nonadherence compared to previous research.
- Opportunities exist for pharmacists to improve adherence to oral hypoglycemic medications, especially in populations known to have disparities in access to care.
- Further research should be done to better identify factors associated with nonadherence across other populations.

Limitations

- Potential coding errors and omissions may have been present within the data and/or analyses.
- Sample limited to Medicaid population, so unable to assess income level as a potential factor.
- Patients over the age of 65 are underrepresented due to many having coverage switched to Medicare.
- Caution should be undertaken in generalizing findings to other Medicaid programs.

References

- Medicare-Medicaid Plan Quality Ratings Strategy. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services 2015.
- Owen JA. Medicare star ratings: stakeholder proceedings on community pharmacy and managed care partnerships in quality. *J Am Pharm Assoc* 2014;54:228-40.
- Cheetham TC, Niu F, Green K, et al. Primary nonadherence to statin medications in a managed care organization. *Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy* 2013;19:367-73.
- Mann DM, Woodard M, Muntner P, Falzon L, Kronish I. Predictors of non-adherence to statins: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2010;44:1410-21.
- Yang Y, Thumula V, Pace PF, Banahan BF, 3rd, Wilkin NE, Lobb WB. Predictors of medication nonadherence among patients with diabetes in Medicare Part D programs: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Ther* 2009;31:2178-88; discussion 50-1.
- Andersen R, Newman JF. Societal and individual determinants of medical care utilization in the United States. *Milbank Mem Fund Q Health Soc.* 1973;51(1):95-124.

Disclosure Statement

- Drs. Tidmore & Nesser have no conflicts of interest; Drs. Keast, Adams, & Holderread report contractual employment with the Oklahoma Health Care Authority. Drs. Keast and Holderread report an unrelated research grant from Gilead Sciences, Inc. Dr. Keast reports an unrelated research grant from Purdue Pharma. No funding was received for this research.