

# Predictive modeling of fibrosis scores for patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C in a state Medicaid program

Corby Thompson<sup>1,2</sup>, Pharm.D.; Grant Skrepnek<sup>2</sup>, R.Ph., Ph.D.; Bethany Holderread<sup>1</sup>, Pharm.D.; Terry Cothran<sup>1</sup>, D.Ph.; Shellie Keast<sup>1,2</sup>, Pharm.D., Ph.D.

<sup>1</sup>Pharmacy Management Consultants, <sup>2</sup>University of Oklahoma College of Pharmacy

Background

- Many payers, particularly state Medicaid programs with a large burden of chronic hepatitis C (HCV) patients, limit access to direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) to patients with marked fibrosis, citing high regimen costs as a necessity to prioritize patients for treatment.<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- Liver fibrosis has been used as a marker for prioritizing patients, giving highest priority to patients with METAVIR fibrosis scores of F3 or F4. A higher score indicates higher disease severity.<sup>3</sup>
- Analysis tools using claims data to estimate fibrosis scores and utilization of health care resources in a specific population would be valuable to payers that are considering lessening coverage requirements based on fibrosis score thresholds.

References:  
1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis C information for healthcare professionals. Available online at: www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv/hcvfaq.htm. Last revised: 04/30/2018. Last accessed: 03/18/2019.  
2. Francisus A. HCVSP fact sheet: A brief history of hepatitis C. Available online at: http://hcvadvocate.org/hepatitis/factsheets\_pdf/Brief\_History\_HCV.pdf. Last revised: 02/2017. Last accessed 03/18/2019.  
3. Canary LA, Kleveins RM, Holmberg SD. Limited access to new hepatitis C virus treatment under state Medicaid programs. Ann Intern Med 2015;163:226-8.

Objective

- To develop and assess a method for determining the METAVIR fibrosis score for patients diagnosed with HCV utilizing a health plan’s administrative paid claims data.

Methods

- Historical, cross-sectional cohort from a Medicaid payer perspective
- Two data sources were used: 1) prior authorization (PA) requests from the patient management system, and 2) Medicaid paid claims data
- Inclusion criteria: adult Oklahoma Health Care Authority (OHCA) (Medicaid) members (18-64 years) diagnosed with chronic HCV and who had a PA submission (i.e., both approved and unapproved requests) for treatment with one of the newer DAAs during the study period of 07/01/2014-10/31/2017; up to 1 year pre-index period was utilized with ≥6 months continuous eligibility
- Exclusion criteria included members with dual-Medicare eligibility; history of or complications from a liver transplantation; and members with no hospital, medical, or pharmacy claims during their study period
- The primary outcome was METAVIR fibrosis score, an ordinal measure, with categories consisting of F0, F1, F2, F3, and F4
- Proportional-odds ordered logit model was specified using robust statistical inference via Huber-White standard errors (heteroscedasticity consistent) for all cases and non-cirrhotic cases; a sensitivity analysis with a forward-stepwise logit regression was conducted, implementing p=0.10 for variable removal and p=0.05 for variable addition
- Support Vector Machines (SVM), a machine learning algorithm for classification and regression analyses, was specified with a multiclass (i.e., class-against-class method), full model, radial basis function kernel; tuning was conducted via modifications of margin of error parameters and gamma scaling factors in the nonlinear kernel as a scaling factor for linear components, with findings calculated as a percentage that were support vectors

Results

Table 1. Multivariable Regression Analyses for Outcome of METAVIR Fibrosis Score among Medicaid Beneficiaries with Hepatitis C


	All Cases (n = 850)		Non-Cirrhotic Cases Only (n = 669)	
	Full Model <sup>A</sup> Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Stepwise Model <sup>B</sup> Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Full Model <sup>A</sup> Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Stepwise Model <sup>B</sup> Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Demographics				
Age	1.046*** (1.027,1.064)	1.046*** (1.029,1.061)	1.048*** (1.029,1.067)	1.049*** (1.033,1.066)
Male Sex	1.822*** (1.328,2.500)	1.754*** (1.316,2.337)	1.851*** (1.338,2.559)	1.822*** (1.361,2.440)
Race (referent: White)				
African American	0.785 (0.473,1.304)		0.786 (0.468,1.322)	
Asian or Pacific Islander	0.923 (0.415,2.053)		0.840 (0.372,1.896)	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.920 (0.484,1.748)		0.988 (0.521,1.874)	
Other	0.786 (0.404,1.529)		0.866 (0.439,1.705)	
Year (referent: 2014)				
2015	0.988 (0.397,2.458)		0.986 (0.394,2.465)	
2016	1.271 (0.510,3.167)		1.202 (0.481,3.005)	
2017	0.714 (0.278,1.836)	0.601** (0.445,0.813)	0.668 (0.259,1.723)	0.580*** (0.427,0.788)
Hepatitis Clinical Characteristics				
DAA Treatment Length	1.193*** (1.126,1.265)	1.183*** (1.117,1.254)	1.178*** (1.110,1.250)	1.163*** (1.098,1.232)
Genotype (other than 1)	1.674** (1.162,2.411)	1.620** (1.139,2.304)	1.529* (1.050,2.227)	1.467* (1.023,2.105)
Cirrhosis	21.233*** (10.597,42.545)	21.521*** (11.444,40.471)		
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	5.452* (1.252,23.734)	4.835* (1.103,21.193)		
Ascites	2.094 (0.848,5.171)	2.265* (1.006,5.101)	1.975 (0.721,5.405)	
Hepatic Encephalopathy	0.718 (0.100,5.151)			
Portal Hypertension	2.401 (0.801,7.195)		2.616 (0.656,10.430)	
Esophageal Varices	7.546** (1.204,47.302)	9.927* (1.488,66.238)		
Other Sequelae of Chronic Liver Disease	2.100 (0.393,47.302)		0.510 (0.203,1.285)	
Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease or Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis	2.920 (0.647,1.493)		0.970 (0.631,1.490)	
Extrahepatic Manifestations				
Cerebrovascular Disease	0.508 (0.242,1.065)	0.457** (0.264,0.792)	0.539 (0.246,1.179)	0.448** (0.252,0.795)
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	1.691** (1.215,2.355)	1.596** (1.163,2.189)	1.608** (1.145,2.257)	1.504* (1.086,2.082)
Nephritis, Nephrotic Syndrome, or Nephrosis	0.620 (0.301,1.278)		0.621 (0.282,1.368)	
Depression	1.122 (0.798,1.575)		1.038 (0.731,1.473)	
GERD	1.424* (1.030,1.971)		1.429* (1.027,1.989)	
Alcohol Use Disorder	0.854 (0.496,1.471)		0.826 (0.465,1.466)	
Opioid Use Disorder	0.854 (0.549,1.329)		0.918 (0.586,1.439)	
Other Solid Tumor	0.735 (0.287,1.884)	0.402* (0.170,0.951)	0.491 (0.172,1.407)	0.111*** (0.146,0.304)
/cut1	-1.189 (-3.062,0.685)	-1.290 (-2.901,0.320)	-1.319 (-3.209,0.570)	-1.417 (-3.040,0.204)
/cut2	2.473 (1.026,3.921)	2.353 (1.316,3.390)	2.347 (0.881,3.813)	2.229 (1.180,3.279)
/cut3	4.909 (3.415,6.402)	4.728 (3.628,5.827)	4.795 (3.251,6.310)	4.616 (3.500,5.731)
/cut4	6.266 (4.737,7.796)	6.050 (4.914,7.187)	6.100 (4.550,7.651)	5.883 (4.733,7.034)
Overall Pseudo R <sup>2</sup> (ordered logit regressions)	24.00%	22.71%	9.50%	8.00%
Machine Learning/Computational Intelligence Predictive Model <sup>C</sup>				
Percentage that are Support Vectors (tuned)	47.7%		75.3%	
Percentage that are Support Vectors (untuned)	≥93.2%		≥79.8%	

<sup>A</sup> Proportional-odds ordered logit regression with robust standard error calculation (i.e., Huber-White heteroskedasticity consistent)

<sup>B</sup> Forward stepwise logit regression, p=0.10 for removal and p=0.05 for addition, robust standard error calculation (i.e., Huber-White heteroskedasticity consistent)

<sup>C</sup> Support Vector Machine (SVM) specified with multiclass (i.e., class-against-class method), full models, radial basis function kernel; tuning via modifications of margin of error parameters and gamma scaling factors in the nonlinear kernel as a scaling factor for linear components.

\* p<0.05; \*\* p<0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001



The UNIVERSITY of OKLAHOMA  
College of Pharmacy

Results

- A total of 1,096 Medicaid members were eligible for the study
- Average age 48.8±10.6 years, 43.3% were male, 68.8% were genotype-1
- Notable univariable associations with increasing METAVIR score and clinical comorbidities included: increased percentage of GERD, diabetes, CHF, and Deyo-Charlson scores (p<0.05); most clinical associations were consistent among non-cirrhotic cases, though noting insignificant associations with METAVIR scores and ascites (p=0.185)
- The multivariable analysis across all cases indicated significantly higher associations (p<0.05) with higher METAVIR scores and several factors including male sex (OR=1.82), age (OR=1.05), genotype other than 1 (OR=1.67), DAA treatment length (OR=1.19), diabetes (OR=1.69), hepatocellular carcinoma (OR=5.45), cirrhosis (OR=21.23), varices (OR=7.55), and GERD (OR=1.42)

Limitations


- METAVIR scoring was based on MD-reported PA submissions using various techniques (e.g., biopsy, non-invasive scoring methods)
- Liver-related comorbidities and extrahepatic manifestations of Hepatitis C may be associated with varying standards of care and clinician perception
- Administrative claims data are for billing purposes and may contain errors
- Caution should be exerted concerning generalizability to other health care settings and patient populations

Conclusions

- This investigation observed numerous multivariable clinical associations with METAVIR fibrosis scores in Medicaid members, with machine learning suggesting moderate to strong predictive capabilities when tuned.
- Information extracted from administrative claims data may be suitable for categorizing chronic HCV patients by METAVIR classification, without availability of actual laboratory results.
- Disease severity prediction via a claims-based proxy may assist policymakers with appropriate resource allocation and benefit design

Disclosure Statement

- Keast, Skrepnek, Thompson, and Holderread disclose unrelated funding through an unrestricted research grant from Amgen, Inc during the research period. Keast and Skrepnek also acknowledges unrelated funding from Purdue Pharma for a research fellowship grant. All authors disclose contractual employment by OHCA.
- Financial support for the study was provided by AbbVie. AbbVie participated in the interpretation of data and review of this publication.



The UNIVERSITY of OKLAHOMA  
Health Sciences Center  
College of Pharmacy

