

**UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA COLLEGE OF PHARMACY**  
**SITE EVALUATION**

(to be completed by the student)

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Site and city/state: \_\_\_\_\_

At the end of the rotation, rank each evaluation item according to the scale 1 – 4, where 1 = needs improvement/disagree, 2 = average/somewhat agree, 3 = very good/agree, 4 = excellent/strongly agree.

Evaluation Items	Rank (circle one)
1. Sufficient orientation to the site was provided.	1 2 3 4
2. Learning objectives or expectations were established.	1 2 3 4
3. Activities were consistent with learning objectives or expectations.	1 2 3 4
4. Opportunity for active participation was provided.	1 2 3 4
5. Clarification or explanation was provided when feasible.	1 2 3 4
6. Constructive feedback was provided.	1 2 3 4
7. Positive feedback was provided.	1 2 3 4
8. The environment promoted learning.	1 2 3 4
9. Information resources were adequate for learning.	1 2 3 4
10. My expectations for learning were met or exceeded.	1 2 3 4

What are the positive aspects of this site?

In what ways might the site improve the learning experience for students?

Other comments (consider expanding on particularly low or high ranking evaluation items):

Return to: University of Oklahoma College of Pharmacy, P.O. Box 26901, CPB 135B,  
Attn: Director of Experiential Programs, Oklahoma City, OK 73126-0901 (fax: 405/271-3531)